PRE-OLYMPIC CONGRESS

Proceedings

Editors: V. Klisouras, S. Kellis, I. Mouratidis

VOLUME I LECTURES-ORALS



SPORT SCIENCE THROUGH THE AGES

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Department of Physical Education & Sport Science



6-11 AUGUST 2004 THESSALONIKI / HELLAS ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY CAMPUS success rate against it 56,8%; pressing was only used in 1% of instances and offenses were successful against it 52%

The results of this study led to the conclusions that most shots are taken under good circumstances and the 3" at should be the preferred zone for shooting, yielding the highest success rate. In contrast, the shooting side is not significance. Even though guards ended up making more shots, the centers were more efficient. Finally, the students are significance. showed mtm defense is by far the most common defense used in modern basketball. References

[1]. Fotinakis P., et al., Journal of Human Movement Studies 42: 305-316, 2002

0.276

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF FAST BREAKS IN HIGH-LEVEL BASKETBALL

Gerani H.¹, Mexas K.¹, Garefis A.¹, Tsitskaris G.¹

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Laboratory of Sport Performance and Introduction

Fast Break (F.B) is the quick offensive move from defense to offense in order to score an easy basket before the opponents being organised in defense¹. Due to many coaches, F.B. is one of the most important elements in basketball because it creates many opportunities for high percentage shots². The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of F.B.s in isolation and in relation to some variables (initiation, shooting area, fast break situation) in Methods

The sample consisted of 50 high-level basketball games (25 Men's National Teams games from the European Championship in 2001 and 25 games from the First Division of Greek National League in 2001-2002). The scouting software for individual and team sports called SportScout was used for the analysis of the situations. The chi square test Results

The results revealed that significant differences ($x^2 = 1088, 40, p < 0.05$) were found in the effectiveness of the F.B.s while 63% of the F.B. led to a basket, 9% led to an earned foul as the F.B. developed, 19% led to a missed shot and 9% led to an error. Considering the initiation, 56% of the F.B.s began from a defensive rebound and 41% from a stolen ball (x^2 =794,849, p<0.05). Most of the F.B. (82%) ended up in the 3" area and 82% of these resulted in a basket. 11,5% of the F.B.s ended up in the 3 point area, 45,1% resulting in score, and 6,5% ended up in the 2 point area with a 59,2% score rate $(x^2 = 756,373 \text{ p} < 0.05)$. The most common F.B. situations were the 4 on 3 (13,6%), 1 on 1 (13,2%), 1 on 0 (11,6%), 3 on 2 (10,9%) and the 3 on 3 (10,4%)($x^2 = 216,367 \text{ p} < 0.05$). The most effective situations were: 1 on 0 (90,9%), 4 on 2 (80%), 3 on 1 (79,4%), 3 on 2 (75,3%), 1 on 1 (73,5%) and 2 on 1 (73,2%) ($x^2 = 35,163$ p<0.05).

The present study concluded that F.B.s are highly effective in terms of scoring and most of them begin from a rebound or a stolen ball. Furthermore, the 3" area is the most preferable zone and has the highest success rates.

- [1]. Vamvakoudis E., et al., Basketball. Team tactic, pp:153, 1996
- [2]. Mikes J. Scholastic Coach 57(4): 82-84, 1987